arXiv:1412.6272v4 [nucl-th] 26 Nov 2015

Nucleon resonances in the $\gamma p \to \phi K^+\Lambda$ reaction near threshold

Qi-Fang Lü,[1] Rong Wang,[2,3] Ju-Jun Xie,[2,3,4,5] Xu-Rong Chen,[2,3] and De-Min Li[1,*]

[1]*Department of Physics, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, Henan 450001, China*
[2]*Institute of Modern Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Lanzhou 730000, China*
[3]*University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China*
[4]*Research Center for Hadron and CSR Physics, Institute of Modern Physics of CAS and Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, China*
[5]*State Key Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, Institute of Theoretical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China*

(Dated: November 30, 2015)

We investigate the $\gamma p \to \phi K^+\Lambda$ reaction near threshold within an effective Lagrangian approach and the isobar model. Various nucleon resonances caused by the π and η meson exchanges and background contributions are considered. It is shown that the contribution from the $N^*(1535)$ resonance caused by the η meson exchange plays the predominant role. Hence, this reaction provides a good new platform to study the $N^*(1535)$ resonance. The predicted total cross section and specific features of angular distributions can be tested by future experiments.

PACS numbers: 13.75.-n.; 14.20.Gk.; 13.30.Eg.

I. INTRODUCTION

The study of nucleon resonances in meson production reaction is an interesting topic in light hadron physics. Among these resonances, the negative parity nucleon excited state, $N^*(1535)$ (spin-parity $J^P = 1/2^-$), has been a controversial resonance for many years. In the traditional three-quark constituent model, it should be the lowest spatially excited nucleon state with one quark in a $p-$wave [1, 2]. However, the $N^*(1440)$ ($J^P = 1/2^+$) has in fact a much lower mass, despite requiring two units of excitation energy. This is the long-standing mass inversion problem of the nucleon resonance spectrum.

Furthermore, the $N^*(1535)$ resonance couples strongly to those strangeness channels [3]. Besides the ηN channel [1], it also couples strongly to $\eta' N$ [4–6], ϕN [7, 8], and $K\Lambda$ [9, 10] channels. Moreover, it is found that the $N^*(1535)$ state is dynamically generated within a chiral unitary coupled channel approach, with its mass, width, and branching ratios in fair agreement with the experimental results [11–14]. This approach shows that the couplings of the $N^*(1535)$ resonance to the $K\Sigma$, ηN, and $K\Lambda$ channels could be large compared to that for the πN channel.

The mass inversion problem could be understood if there were significant five-quark ($uuds\bar{s}$) components in the wave function of the $N^*(1535)$ resonance [15, 16], which would also provide a natural explanation of the large couplings of the the $N^*(1535)$ resonance to the strangeness $K\Lambda$, $K\Sigma$, $N\eta'$, and $N\phi$ channels. It would furthermore lead to an improvement in the description of the $N^*(1535)$ helicity amplitudes [17, 18]. In this paper, we wish to argue that the $N^*(1535)$ resonance might play an important role in the associated strangeness production of the $\gamma p \to \phi K^+\Lambda$ reaction. Since this reaction needs to create two $s\bar{s}$ quark pairs from the vacuum, its total cross sections should be small, which is why not much attention has been paid to it on either the theoretical or experimental sides. However, because of the week interactions of ϕK^+ and $\phi\Lambda$, the $\gamma p \to \phi K^+\Lambda$ reaction near threshold provides a good new platform to study the $N^*(1535)$ resonance decaying to $K^+\Lambda$.

The couplings of the $N^*(1535)$ resonance to the $K\Lambda$ and ηN channels and the ratio of $g_{K\Lambda N^*(1535)}$ to $g_{\eta NN^*(1535)}$, $R \equiv |g_{K\Lambda N^*(1535)}/g_{\eta NN^*(1535)}|$, have been intensively studied within various theoretical approaches. By analyzing the $J/\psi \to \bar{p}K^+\Lambda$ and $J/\psi \to \bar{p}\eta p$ experimental data, Ref. [9] gives $R = 1.3 \pm 0.3$. From the latest and largest photoproduction database by using the isobar model, Ref. [19] gives $R = 0.460 \pm 0.172$. From the J/ψ decays within the chiral unitary approach, Ref. [20] gives $R = 0.5 \sim 0.7$. Based on the partial wave analysis of kaon photonproduction, Ref. [21] gives $R = 0.42 \sim 0.73$. The result of the s-wave πN scattering analysis within a unitarized chiral effective Lagrangian indicates $|g_{K\Lambda N^*(1535)}|^2 > |g_{\eta NN^*(1535)}|^2$ [22]. The coupled-channels calculation predicts $R = 0.8 \sim 2.6$ [23]. Study on the partial decay widths of the $N^*(1535)$ resonance to the pseudoscalar mesons and octet baryons within a chiral constituent quark model shows $R = 0.85 \pm 0.06$ [24]. In a very recent analysis of the $\pi^- p \to K^0\Lambda$ reaction, a value $R = 0.71 \pm 0.10$ is obtained [25]. Obviously, theoretical predictions on R are not completely consistent with each other, thus, it is still worth studying the coupling constant $g_{K\Lambda N^*(1535)}$ in different ways.

In the present work, we investigate the role of nucleon resonances in the $\gamma p \to \phi K^+\Lambda$ reaction near threshold in the framework of an effective Lagrangian approach and the isobar model. Initial interaction between incoming photons and protons is modeled by an effective Lagrangian which is based on the exchange of the π, η, and kaon mesons. The $K^+\Lambda$ production proceeds via excita-

*Electronic address: lidm@zzu.edu.cn

tion of the $N^*(1535)$, $N^*(1650)$, $N^*(1710)$, and $N^*(1720)$ intermediate nucleon resonances which have appreciable branching ratios for the decay into the $K^+\Lambda$ channel.

This article is organized as follows. In the next section, we will present the formalism and ingredients for present calculations, then numerical results and discussions are given in Sect. III. A short summary is given in the last section.

II. FORMALISM AND INGREDIENTS

We study the $\gamma p \to \phi K^+\Lambda$ reaction near threshold within an effective Lagrangian approach and the isobar model, which has been extensively applied to the study of scattering processes [26–37]. The basic tree level Feynman diagrams for the $\gamma p \to \phi K^+\Lambda$ reaction are depicted in Fig. 1. It is assumed that the $K\Lambda$ final state is produced by the decay of the intermediate nucleon resonances as the result of the π and η meson exchange [Fig. 1 (a)]. Moreover, the background contributions including the s-channel nucleon pole, t-channel K exchange [Fig. 1 (b)], and contact term [Fig. 1 (c)] are also considered.

To compute the amplitudes of these diagrams shown in Fig. 1, the effective Lagrangian densities for relevant interaction vertexes are needed. We use the commonly employed Lagrangian densities for πNN, ηNN, and $K\Lambda N$ as follows [38]:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\pi NN} = -\frac{g_{\pi NN}}{2m_N}\bar{N}\gamma_5\gamma_\mu\vec{\tau}\cdot\partial^\mu\vec{\pi}N, \quad (1)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\eta NN} = -\frac{g_{\eta NN}}{2m_N}\bar{N}\gamma_5\gamma_\mu\partial^\mu\eta N, \quad (2)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{K\Lambda N} = -\frac{g_{K\Lambda N}}{m_N+m_\Lambda}\bar{N}\gamma_5\gamma_\mu\partial^\mu K\Lambda + \text{h.c.}. \quad (3)$$

The coupling constants in the above Lagrangian densities are taken as [7, 31, 35]: $g_{\pi NN} = 13.45$, $g_{KN\Lambda} = -13.98$, and $g_{\eta NN} = 2.24$.

For the ϕ meson and photon couplings, we use the interaction Lagrangian densities as used in Refs. [37, 39],

$$\mathcal{L}_{\phi\gamma\pi} = \frac{e}{m_\phi}g_{\phi\gamma\pi}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\partial_\mu\phi_\nu\partial_\alpha A_\beta\pi, \quad (4)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\phi\gamma\eta} = \frac{e}{m_\phi}g_{\phi\gamma\eta}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\partial_\mu\phi_\nu\partial_\alpha A_\beta\eta, \quad (5)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\gamma KK} = -ie(\partial^\mu K^- K^+ - \partial^\mu K^+ K^-)A_\mu, \quad (6)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\phi KK} = -ig_{\phi KK}(\partial^\mu K^- K^+ - \partial^\mu K^+ K^-)\phi_\mu \quad (7)$$

where $e = \sqrt{4\pi\alpha}$ ($\alpha = 1/137.036$ is the fine-structure constant), and A_μ is the photon field.

We also need the interaction Lagrangian densities involving nucleon resonances ($\equiv R$) [40],

$$\mathcal{L}_{\pi NR} = ig_{\pi NR}\bar{R}\vec{\tau}\cdot\vec{\pi}N + \text{h.c.}, \quad (8)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\eta NR} = ig_{\eta NR}\bar{R}\eta N + \text{h.c.}, \quad (9)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{K\Lambda R} = ig_{K\Lambda R}\bar{R}K\Lambda + \text{h.c.}, \quad (10)$$

for $J^p(\frac{1}{2}^-)$ nucleon resonances $N^*(1535)$ and $N^*(1650)$, while

$$\mathcal{L}_{\pi NR} = -\frac{g_{\pi NR}}{m_N+m_R}\bar{R}\gamma_5\gamma_\mu\vec{\tau}\cdot\partial^\mu\vec{\pi}N + \text{h.c.}, \quad (11)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\eta NR} = -\frac{g_{\eta NR}}{m_N+m_R}\bar{R}\gamma_5\gamma_\mu\partial^\mu\eta N + \text{h.c.}, \quad (12)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{K\Lambda R} = -\frac{g_{K\Lambda R}}{m_\Lambda+m_R}\bar{R}\gamma_5\gamma_\mu\partial^\mu K\Lambda + \text{h.c.}, \quad (13)$$

for $J^p(\frac{1}{2}^+)$ nucleon resonance $N^*(1710)$, and

$$\mathcal{L}_{\pi NR} = -\frac{g_{\pi NR}}{m_\pi}\bar{R}_\mu\vec{\tau}\cdot\partial^\mu\vec{\pi}N + \text{h.c.}, \quad (14)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\eta NR} = -\frac{g_{\eta NR}}{m_\eta}\bar{R}_\mu\partial^\mu\eta N + \text{h.c.}, \quad (15)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{K\Lambda R} = -\frac{g_{K\Lambda R}}{m_K}\bar{R}_\mu\partial^\mu K\Lambda + \text{h.c.}, \quad (16)$$

for $J^p(\frac{3}{2}^+)$ nucleon resonance $N^*(1720)$.

The coupling constants in the above Lagrangian densities can be determined from the partial decay widths,

$$\Gamma[\phi\to\pi\gamma] = \frac{e^2g^2_{\phi\gamma\pi}}{12\pi}\frac{|\vec{p}_{\pi\gamma}|^3}{m^2_\phi}, \quad (17)$$

$$\Gamma[\phi\to\eta\gamma] = \frac{e^2g^2_{\phi\gamma\eta}}{12\pi}\frac{|\vec{p}_{\eta\gamma}|^3}{m^2_\phi}, \quad (18)$$

$$\Gamma[\phi\to K^+K^-] = \frac{g^2_{\phi KK}}{6\pi}\frac{|\vec{p}_{K^+K^-}|^3}{m^2_\phi}, \quad (19)$$

for the ϕ meson,

$$\Gamma[R\to N\pi] = \frac{3g^2_{\pi NR}}{4\pi}\frac{(E_N+m_N)}{m_R}|\vec{p}_{N\pi}|, \quad (20)$$

$$\Gamma[R\to N\eta] = \frac{g^2_{\eta NR}}{4\pi}\frac{(E_N+m_N)}{m_R}|\vec{p}_{N\eta}|, \quad (21)$$

$$\Gamma[R\to \Lambda K] = \frac{g^2_{K\Lambda R}}{4\pi}\frac{(E_\Lambda+m_\Lambda)}{m_R}|\vec{p}_{\Lambda K}|, \quad (22)$$

for $J^p(\frac{1}{2}^-)$ nucleon resonances $N^*(1535)$ and $N^*(1650)$,

$$\Gamma[R\to N\pi] = \frac{3g^2_{\pi NR}}{4\pi}\frac{(E_N-m_N)}{m_R(m_R+m_N)}|\vec{p}_{N\pi}|, \quad (23)$$

$$\Gamma[R\to N\eta] = \frac{g^2_{\eta NR}}{4\pi}\frac{(E_N-m_N)}{m_R(m_R+m_N)}|\vec{p}_{N\eta}|, \quad (24)$$

$$\Gamma[R\to \Lambda K] = \frac{g^2_{K\Lambda R}}{4\pi}\frac{(E_\Lambda-m_\Lambda)}{m_R(m_R+m_\Lambda)}|\vec{p}_{\Lambda K}|, \quad (25)$$

for $J^p(\frac{1}{2}^+)$ nucleon resonance $N^*(1710)$, and

$$\Gamma[R\to N\pi] = \frac{g^2_{\pi NR}}{4\pi}\frac{(E_N+m_N)}{m_Rm^2_\pi}|\vec{p}_{N\pi}|^3, \quad (26)$$

$$\Gamma[R\to N\eta] = \frac{g^2_{\eta NR}}{12\pi}\frac{(E_N+m_N)}{m_Rm^2_\eta}|\vec{p}_{N\eta}|^3, \quad (27)$$

$$\Gamma[R\to \Lambda K] = \frac{g^2_{K\Lambda R}}{12\pi}\frac{(E_\Lambda+m_\Lambda)}{m_Rm^2_K}|\vec{p}_{\Lambda K}|^3, \quad (28)$$



FIG. 1: Feynman diagrams for the $\gamma p \to \phi K^+ \Lambda$ reaction.

for $J^p(\frac{3}{2}^+)$ nucleon resonance $N^*(1720)$, where

$$|\vec{p}_{f_1 f_2}| \;=\; \frac{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(m_i^2, m_{f_1}^2, m_{f_2}^2)}{2m_i}, \tag{29}$$

where m_i denotes the mass of the initial state, m_{f_1} and m_{f_2} are the masses of two final states, and λ is the Källen function with $\lambda(x,y,z) = (x-y-z)^2 - 4yz$. The obtained results for the coupling constants are listed in Tab. I, while the coupling constant $g_{K\Lambda N^*(1535)}$ will be discussed below.

TABLE I: Relevant parameters used in the present calculation. The widths and branching ratios are taken from Particle Data Group [1].

State	Width (MeV)	Decay channel	Adopted branching ratio	$g^2/4\pi$
ϕ	4.26	$\pi\gamma$	1.27×10^{-3}	1.56×10^{-3}
		$\eta\gamma$	1.31×10^{-2}	4.01×10^{-2}
		K^+K^-	0.49	1.59
$N^*(1535)$	150	$N\pi$	0.45	3.68×10^{-2}
		$N\eta$	0.42	0.28
$N^*(1650)$	150	$N\pi$	0.70	5.22×10^{-2}
		$N\eta$	0.10	3.57×10^{-2}
		ΛK	0.07	4.36×10^{-2}
$N^*(1710)$	100	$N\pi$	0.13	7.18×10^{-2}
		$N\eta$	0.20	0.97
		ΛK	0.15	2.98
$N^*(1720)$	250	$N\pi$	0.11	2.04×10^{-3}
		$N\eta$	0.04	0.11
		ΛK	0.08	0.49

Since the hadrons are not point-like particles, the form factors are also needed. We adopt the dipole form factor for exchanged mesons [7, 41, 42],

$$F_M(q_{ex}^2, M_{ex}) = (\frac{\Lambda_M^2 - M_{ex}^2}{\Lambda_M^2 - q_{ex}^2})^2. \tag{30}$$

The form factor for the exchanged baryons is taken as [43, 44],

$$F_B(q_{ex}^2, M_{ex}) = \frac{\Lambda_B^4}{\Lambda_B^4 + (q_{ex}^2 - M_{ex}^2)^2}, \tag{31}$$

where the q_{ex} and M_{ex} are the four-momentum and the mass of the exchanged hadron, respectively. In our present calculation, we use the cut off parameters $\Lambda_\pi = \Lambda_\eta = 1.3$ GeV for π and η mesons [7], $\Lambda_K = 0.8$ GeV for K meson [41, 42], and $\Lambda_N = \Lambda_{N^*(1535)} = \Lambda_{N^*(1650)} = \Lambda_{N^*(1710)} = \Lambda_{N^*(1720)} = 2.0$ GeV for baryons [7].

The propagators for exchanged π, η and K meson used in our calculation is,

$$G_{\pi,\eta,K}(q) = \frac{i}{q^2 - m_{\pi,\eta,K}^2}. \tag{32}$$

For the propagator of spin-1/2 baryon, we use

$$G_{\frac{1}{2}}(q) = \frac{i(\not{q} + M)}{q^2 - M^2 + iM\Gamma}. \tag{33}$$

For the propagator of spin-3/2 baryon, it can be taken as,

$$G_{\frac{3}{2}}^{\mu\nu}(q) = \frac{i(\not{q} + M)P^{\mu\nu}(q)}{q^2 - M^2 + iM\Gamma}, \tag{34}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} P^{\mu\nu}(q) \;=\; & -g^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{3}\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu + \frac{1}{3M}(\gamma^\mu q^\nu - \gamma^\nu q^\mu) \\ & + \frac{2}{3M^2} q^\mu q^\nu, \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

where q, M, and Γ stand for the four-momentum, mass, and total width of the intermediate nucleon resonance, respectively.

From the above effective Lagrangian densities, the scattering amplitudes for the $\gamma p \to \phi K^+ \Lambda$ reaction can be obtained straightforwardly. For nucleon pole due to the π exchange can be written as,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_N \;=\; & \frac{ig_{K\Lambda N} g_{\pi NN} g_{\phi\gamma\pi} F_\pi(q_\pi^2, m_\pi) F_N(q_N^2, m_N)}{2m_N(m_N + m_\Lambda)m_\phi} \\ & \times \bar{u}(p_5, s_5)\gamma_5 \not{p}_4 G_{1/2}(q_N)\gamma_5 \not{q}_\pi u(p_2, s_2) G_\pi(q_\pi) \\ & \times \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} p_{3\mu} \varepsilon_\nu^*(p_3, s_3) p_{1\alpha} \varepsilon_\beta(p_1, s_1), \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

For $J^p(\frac{1}{2}^-)$ nucleon resonances $N^*(1535)$ and $N^*(1650)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}_R &= \frac{-ig_{K\Lambda R}g_{\pi NR}g_{\phi\gamma\pi}F_\pi(q_\pi^2,m_\pi)F_R(q_R^2,m_R)}{m_\phi}\\ &\times\bar{u}(p_5,s_5)G_{1/2}(q_R)u(p_2,s_2)G_\pi(q_\pi)\\ &\times\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}p_{3\mu}\varepsilon^*_\nu(p_3,s_3)p_{1\alpha}\varepsilon_\beta(p_1,s_1),\end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

For $J^p(\frac{1}{2}^+)$ nucleon resonance $N^*(1710)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}_R &= \frac{ig_{K\Lambda R}g_{\pi NR}g_{\phi\gamma\pi}F_\pi(q_\pi^2,m_\pi)F_R(q_R^2,m_R)}{(m_N+m_R)(m_\Lambda+m_R)m_\phi}\\ &\times\bar{u}(p_5,s_5)\gamma_5\not{p}_4G_{1/2}(q_R)\gamma_5\not{q}_\pi u(p_2,s_2)G_\pi(q_\pi)\\ &\times\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}p_{3\mu}\varepsilon^*_\nu(p_3,s_3)p_{1\alpha}\varepsilon_\beta(p_1,s_1),\end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

For $J^p(\frac{3}{2}^+)$ nucleon resonance $N^*(1720)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}_R &= \frac{ig_{K\Lambda R}g_{\pi NR}g_{\phi\gamma\pi}F_\pi(q_\pi^2,m_\pi)F_R(q_R^2,m_R)}{m_\pi m_K m_\phi}\\ &\times\bar{u}(p_5,s_5)p_{4\mu}G^{\mu\nu}_{3/2}(q_R)q_{\pi\nu}u(p_2,s_2)G_\pi(q_\pi)\\ &\times\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}p_{3\mu}\varepsilon^*_\nu(p_3,s_3)p_{1\alpha}\varepsilon_\beta(p_1,s_1),\end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

where p_i $(i = 1,2,3,4,5)$ stand the four-momenta for photon, proton, ϕ, K^+, and Λ, respectively, and s_i $(i = 1,2,3,5)$ represent the spin projection of photon, proton, ϕ, and Λ, respectively. $q_\pi = p_1 - p_3$ is the four-momentum for exchanged π meson, and $q_R = p_4 + p_5$ is the four-momentum for exchanged nucleon resonance. The amplitudes due to the η exchange are similar, and can be obtained by changing π to η from the above equations.

We also give the amplitudes of the K exchanged Feynman diagram shown in Fig. 1 (b) as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}_K &= \frac{eg_{K\Lambda N}g_{\phi KK}}{m_N+m_\Lambda}F_K(q^2_{K^-},m_{K^-})F_K(q^2_{K^+},m_{K^+})\\ &\times\bar{u}(p_5,s_5)\gamma_5\not{q}_{K^+}u(p_2,s_2)G_K(q_{K^+})\\ &\times(q_{K^-}-q_{K^+})\cdot\varepsilon^*(p_3,s_3)G_K(q_{K^-})\\ &\times(p_4-q_{K^-})\cdot\varepsilon(p_1,s_1),\end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

$q_{K^-} = p_1 - p_4$ is the four-momentum for exchanged K^- meson, and $q_{K^+} = p_2 - p_5$ is the four-momentum for exchanged K^+ meson.

The contact term is required to keep the full amplitude gauge invariant, and can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}_c &= i\frac{eg_{K\Lambda N}g_{\phi KK}}{m_N+m_\Lambda}F_K(q^2_{K^-},m_{K^-})F_K(q^2_{K^+},m_{K^+})\\ &\times\bar{u}(p_5,s_5)\gamma_5\not{q}_{K^+}u(p_2,s_2)G_K(q_{K^+})\varepsilon^*_\mu(p_3,s_3)\\ &\times[g^{\mu\nu}-\frac{(p_4+q_{K^+})^\mu p_3^\nu}{p_1\cdot p_3}]\varepsilon_\nu(p_1,s_1).\end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

Then the calculations of the differential and total cross sections for $\gamma p\to\phi K^+\Lambda$ reaction are straightforward,

$$d\sigma(\gamma p\to\phi K^+\Lambda) = \frac{1}{8E_\gamma}\sum_{s_i}|\mathcal{M}|^2\times \frac{d^3p_3}{2E_3}\frac{d^3p_4}{2E_4}\frac{m_\Lambda d^3p_5}{E_5}\delta^4(p_1+p_2-p_3-p_4-p_5), \quad (42)$$

where E_3, E_4 and E_5 are the energy of the ϕ, K^+, and Λ, respectively, and E_γ is the photon energy at the laboratory frame. Since the relative phase between various amplitudes is not known, the interference terms between these parts are ignored in the present calculation. [1]

III. NUMERICAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

With the formalism and ingredients given above, the total cross section versus the beam energy E_γ for the $\gamma p\to\phi K^+\Lambda$ reaction is calculated by using a Monte Carlo multi-particle phase space integration program. [2]

The roles of various meson exchange processes in describing the total cross section are shown in Fig. 2, where one can see that the η meson exchange plays a predominant role, while the contributions from the π exchange, K exchange, and contact term are small. This behavior does not vary much with $R \equiv |g_{K\Lambda N^*(1535)}/g_{\eta NN^*(1535)}|$. The overwhelming η exchange contribution, compared with that from the π exchange, can be easily understood since the value of the coupling constant $g^2_{\phi\gamma\eta}$ is 26 times lager than the one of $g^2_{\phi\gamma\pi}$. Hence, this reaction provides a good platform for studying the nucleon resonances that couple strongly to the ηN and $K\Lambda$ channels.

[1] In effective Lagrangian approaches, the relative phases between different amplitudes are not fixed. We should generally introduce a relative phase between different amplitudes as a free parameter. However, we do not have experimental information for the $\gamma p\to\phi K^+\Lambda$ reaction, and we will see in the following that the magnitudes of the contributions from different processes in the energy region what we considered are much different, hence the effect of the interference term should be small, and we ignore them in the present work.

[2] In our calculations, we take $g^2_{N^*(1535)K\Lambda} = 3.52$. Because the value of R varies in a wide range as mentioned in the Introduction, we take $R = 1$ here for simplicity. $R = 1$ leads to $g^2_{K\Lambda N^*(1535)} = R^2 g^2_{\eta NN^*(1535)} = 3.52$ based on Table I.



FIG. 2: (Color online) Total cross sections for the $\gamma p \to \phi K^+\Lambda$ reaction as a function of the beam energy E_γ. The red-dashed, green-dotted, and blue-dashed-dotted lines stand for contributions from π, η, K and contact term, respectively. Their sum is shown by the solid line. The pink-dotted-dotted curve is obtained with $\Lambda_K = 1.0$ GeV.



FIG. 3: (Color online) Total cross sections vs the beam energy E_γ for the $\gamma p \to \phi K^+\Lambda$ reaction. The red-dashed, green-dotted, blue-dashed-dotted, and pink-dotted-dotted and yellow-dotted-dotted-dashed lines stand for contributions from $N^*(1535)$, $N^*(1650)$, $N^*(1710)$, $N^*(1720)$ and background, respectively. Their sum is shown by the solid line.

It is worthy mentioning that the contribution from the K exchange and contact term is small, but it increases rapidly and depends much on the value of the cut off parameter Λ_K. To see how much it depends on the cut off parameter, we also show in Fig. 2 the theoretical results with $\Lambda_K = 1.0$ GeV for comparison.

The relative importance of the contributions of each intermediate resonance to the $\gamma p \to \phi K^+\Lambda$ reaction is demonstrated in Fig. 3, where the contributions of $N^*(1535)$, $N^*(1650)$, $N^*(1710)$, $N^*(1720)$, and background are shown by red-dashed, green-dotted, blue-dashed-dotted, and pink-dotted-dotted and yellow-dotted-dotted-dashed curves, respectively. Their total contribution is depicted by the solid line. It is clear that the $N^*(1535)$ resonance gives the dominant contribution from the reaction threshold to E_γ around 3.4 GeV, while the other resonances and background give the minor contribution. When the beam energy E_γ is above 3.4 GeV, the contributions from other processes are also important.

The contribution from the $N^*(1535)$ resonance be proportional to R^2. If R varies in the range of about $0.5 \sim 2.6$ as mentioned in Sec. I, from Fig. 3 one can see that near the threshold of the $\gamma p \to \phi K^+\Lambda$ reaction, the contribution from the $N(1535)$ resonance remains dominant.

When the η exchange is dominant as shown in Fig. 2, these facts, i.e., the $N^*(1535)$ resonance couples to ηN and $K\Lambda$ channels in the S wave, the $N^*(1710)$ and $N^*(1720)$ resonances couple to ηN and $K\Lambda$ channels in P wave, and the $N^*(1650)$ couples weaker to ηN channel, could account for the fact that the contribution from the $N^*(1535)$ resonance is dominant, while the contributions from the $N^*(1650)$, $N^*(1710)$, and $N^*(1720)$ are suppressed at the beam energy closed to the reaction threshold.

In addition to the total cross sections, the differential distributions for $\gamma p \to \phi K^+\Lambda$ reaction are also calculated. The corresponding momentum of ϕ meson, the angular distributions of ϕ and K^+ meson, and the $K\Lambda$ invariant mass spectrum at beam energy $E_\gamma = 3.3$, and 3.7 GeV are shown in Figs. 4 and 5, respectively. The dashed lines are pure phase space distributions and the solid lines stand for our theoretical results.

From Figs. 4 and 5, one can see the difference between the momentum distribution of ϕ meson and phase space distribution is slight at $E_\gamma = 3.3$ GeV but apparent at $E_\gamma = 3.7$ GeV. Also, the special features of the angular distributions of ϕ and K^+ mesons, i.e., the forward contribution for ϕ meson and the backward contribution for K^+ meson, exist at both beam energies. For the $K\Lambda$ invariant mass spectrum, the enhancement near threshold is from the contribution of $N^*(1535)$ resonance and the other bump appears at $E_\gamma = 3.7$ GeV is produced by the $N^*(1710)$ resonance.

IV. SUMMARY

In this work, we have studied the $\gamma p \to \phi K^+\Lambda$ reaction near threshold within an effective Lagrangian approach. In addition to the background contributions from the s-channel nucleon pole, K exchange, and contact term, the intermediate nucleon resonances due to the π and η meson exchanges are also investigated. The total and differential cross sections are predicted. Our results show that the contribution from $N^*(1535)$ resonance due to



FIG. 4: Differential distributions for the $\gamma p \to \phi K^+\Lambda$ reaction at the beam energy $E_\gamma = 3.3$ GeV. The solid curves stand for our theoretical predictions while the dashed lines represent the pure phase space distributions.



FIG. 5: As in Fig. 4 but at the beam energy $E_\gamma = 3.7$ GeV.

η exchange play the dominant role near threshold, while other resonances and background contributions are small and can be ignored. Thus, this reaction provides a good chance to study the coupling of $K\Lambda N^*(1535)$ interaction. It is also found that the ϕ meson has the forward angular distribution, while K^+ meson gives the backward contribution. These specific features of angular distributions, together with the total cross section which is in the magnitude of 0.2 nb at photon energy $E_\gamma = 3.3 \sim 3.4$ GeV can be tested future experiments. An experiment with a precision about 0.1 nb will be enough to check our model. The future experiment in the JLab 12 GeV upgrade with large luminosity promise to reach such a requirement.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Xu Cao for useful discussions. This work is partly supported by the Ministry of Science and Technology of China (2014CB845406), the National Natural Science Foundation of China under grants: 11105126, 11475227, 11375024 and 11175220. We acknowledge the one Hundred Person Project of Chinese Academy of Science (Y101020BR0). The Project is Sponsored by the Scientific Research Foundation for the Returned Overseas Chinese Scholars, State Education Ministry.

[1] K.A. Olive et al. (Particle Data Group), Chin. Phys. C, **38**, 090001 (2014).
[2] S. Capstick and N. Isgur, Phys. Rev. D **34**, 2809 (1986).
[3] J. J. Xie and C. Wilkin, Phys. Rev. C **82**, 025210 (2010).
[4] X. Cao and X. G. Lee, Phys. Rev. C **78**, 035207 (2008).
[5] X. H. Zhong and Q. Zhao, Phys. Rev. C **84**, 065204 (2011).
[6] F. Huang, H. Haberzettl and K. Nakayama, Phys. Rev.

C **87**, 054004 (2013).
[7] J. J. Xie, B. S. Zou and H. C. Chiang, Phys. Rev. C **77**, 015206 (2008).
[8] J. P. Dai, P. N. Shen, J. J. Xie and B. S. Zou, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 014011 (2012).
[9] B. C. Liu and B. S. Zou, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 042002 (2006).
[10] B. C. Liu and B. S. Zou, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 039102 (2007).
[11] N. Kaiser, T. Waas and W. Weise, Nucl. Phys. A **612**, 297 (1997).
[12] T. Inoue, E. Oset and M. J. Vicente Vacas, Phys. Rev. C **65**, 035204 (2002).
[13] J. Nieves and E. Ruiz Arriola, Phys. Rev. D **64**, 116008 (2001).
[14] C. Garcia-Recio, M. F. M. Lutz and J. Nieves, Phys. Lett. B **582**, 49 (2004).
[15] B. S. Zou, Nucl. Phys. A **827**, 333C (2009).
[16] B. S. Zou, Nucl. Phys. A **835**, 199 (2010).
[17] C. S. An and B. S. Zou, Eur. Phys. J. A **39**, 195 (2009).
[18] C. S. An, Chin. Phys. C **33**, 1393 (2009).
[19] T. Mart, Phys. Rev. C **87**, 042201 (2013).
[20] L. S. Geng, E. Oset, B. S. Zou and M. Doring, Phys. Rev. C **79**, 025203 (2009).
[21] A. V. Sarantsev, V. A. Nikonov, A. V. Anisovich, E. Klempt and U. Thoma, Eur. Phys. J. A **25**, 441 (2005).
[22] P. C. Bruns, M. Mai and U. G. Meissner, Phys. Lett. B **697**, 254 (2011).
[23] G. Penner and U. Mosel, Phys. Rev. C **66**, 055211 (2002).
[24] C. An and B. Saghai, Phys. Rev. C **84**, 045204 (2011).
[25] C. Z. Wu, Q. F. Lü, J. J. Xie and X. R. Chen, arXiv:1409.7242 [nucl-th].
[26] K. Tsushima, A. Sibirtsev, A. W. Thomas and G. Q. Li, Phys. Rev. C **59**, 369 (1999) [Erratum-ibid. C **61**, 029903 (2000)].
[27] A. Sibirtsev, J. Haidenbauer, H. -W. Hammer and S. Krewald, Eur. Phys. J. A **27**, 269 (2006).
[28] B. C. Liu and B. S. Zou, Commun. Theor. Phys. **46**, 501 (2006).
[29] O. V. Maxwell, Phys. Rev. C **85**, 034611 (2012).
[30] B. C. Liu, Phys. Rev. C **86**, 015207 (2012).
[31] J. -J. Xie, B. -C. Liu and C. -S. An, Phys. Rev. C **88**, 015203 (2013).
[32] B. C. Liu and J. J. Xie, Phys. Rev. C **85**, 038201 (2012).
[33] B. C. Liu and J. J. Xie, Phys. Rev. C **86**, 055202 (2012).
[34] Q. F. Lü, X. H. Liu, J. J. Xie and D. M. Li, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **29**, 1450012 (2014).
[35] Q. F. Lü, J. J. Xie and D. M. Li, Phys. Rev. C **90**, 034002 (2014).
[36] J. J. Xie, E. Wang and J. Nieves, Phys. Rev. C **89**, 015203 (2014).
[37] J. J. Xie and J. Nieves, Phys. Rev. C **82**, 045205 (2010).
[38] W. -H. Liang, P. -N. Shen, B. -S. Zou and A. Faessler, Eur. Phys. J. A **21**, 487 (2004).
[39] H. Y. Ryu, A. I. Titov, A. Hosaka and H. C. Kim, PTEP **2014**, 023D03 (2014).
[40] B. S. Zou and F. Hussain, Phys. Rev. C **67**, 015204 (2003).
[41] Y. Oh, C. M. Ko and K. Nakayama, Phys. Rev. C **77**, 045204 (2008).
[42] P. Gao, J. J. Wu and B. S. Zou, Phys. Rev. C **81**, 055203 (2010).
[43] T. Feuster and U. Mosel, Phys. Rev. C **58**, 457 (1998); *ibid.* **59**, 460 (1999).
[44] V. Shklyar, H. Lenske and U. Mosel, Phys. Rev. C **72**, 015210 (2005).